The Nexus of Philosophical Changes, Nationalism, and Totalitarianism: Exploring the

Road to Fascism in Europe

Introduction

The rise of totalitarianism and fascism in Europe during the 20th century was influenced by a confluence of factors, including philosophical changes, the emergence of nationalism, and the quest for stability. This essay aims to examine the relationship between these elements and their contribution to the growth of authoritarian regimes. By exploring the philosophical underpinnings, particularly Hegelianism and its impact on European thought, as well as the introduction of nationalism, we can gain insights into the historical context that led to the rise of totalitarian ideologies.

Philosophical Changes and Hegelianism

The philosophical ideas of Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel had a profound impact on European thought, contributing to the development of political ideologies and social theories. Hegelianism, as a philosophical system, emphasized the role of dialectics and historical development in understanding society.

According to the political scientist Francis Fukuyama in his book *The End of History and the Last Man*, Hegel's central concept was the Hegelian dialectic, which posited that historical progress occurs through a clash of opposing forces, leading to a synthesis that represents a higher stage of development (154). This idea influenced subsequent philosophical and political thought, as it provided a framework for understanding societal change and transformation. Fukuyama also points out that Hegelian philosophy additionally influenced Karl Marx's development of

historical materialism, a key component of Marxist theory. Marx adopted Hegel's dialectical approach but reinterpreted it in a materialistic context, emphasizing the role of economic factors in shaping history.

Marx's adaptation of Hegelianism is evident in his assertion that "the history of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles" (Marx & Engels 23). This Marxist interpretation of Hegel's dialectic had a profound impact on socialist and communist movements of the early 20th century, shaping their understanding of historical progress and the struggle against capitalism. Thus, Hegel's philosophy rung true with the struggles of many at the turn of the century, and so individuals began to utilize Hegelianism to construct a new form of society that sought stability amidst war and economic strife.

This is further seen with how Hegel's ideas influenced political ideologies that emerged in Europe during the 19th and 20th centuries. One such example is the concept of the Prussian state, which drew inspiration from Hegel's philosophy. According to Steven B. Smith, professor of political science at Yale University, Hegel's view of the state as the embodiment of the "universal spirit" influenced Prussian intellectuals, who sought to establish a strong, centralized state (45). This concept provided a theoretical basis for authoritarian rule, as it emphasized the importance of a powerful state to achieve societal harmony.

Hegel's influence on the Prussian state was significant in paving the way for the transition from Hegelianism to totalitarianism. The Prussian state, with its emphasis on a strong centralized authority, set the stage for the emergence of authoritarian regimes that would later manifest as forms of totalitarianism. Hegel's idea of the state as the "cunning of reason" contributed to a form of statism that became a hallmark of totalitarian regimes. The state, in this context, was seen as

the ultimate expression of reason and authority, justifying the concentration of power in the hands of a single ruler or party (Berlin 12).

Hegel's ideas were not limited to Prussia but gained traction across Europe, influencing various political movements. Hegelianism played a role in the development of nationalist ideologies that sought to establish unified nation-states. Historian Eric Hobsbawm argues in *The Age of Extemes* that Hegelianism provided a philosophical framework for the development of nationalist ideologies in Europe (321). Hegel's emphasis on the historical development of nations and the role of the state as the embodiment of the national spirit resonated with those advocating for national unity. Further, Hegel's ideas, particularly his concept of the state, provided intellectual support for the formation of nation-states.

Totalitarianism can be seen as an extension of Hegelian thought, albeit in a distorted form. The concentration of power in the state, justified by Hegelian ideas, was taken to extreme levels by totalitarian regimes. Hegel's notion of the state as the highest expression of reason and authority was perverted by these regimes to justify the suppression of individual liberties and the establishment of dictatorial rule. Totalitarianism exploited the Hegelian concept of the state as an absolute entity, eroding the boundaries between the public and private spheres (Arendt 43). The totalitarian state sought to control all aspects of society, shaping the lives of individuals and suppressing dissent in the name of achieving a utopian vision.

Nationalism and its Introduction

Nationalism, as a political and social ideology, emerged in Europe during the 19th century, driven by various historical factors and intellectual foundations. It gained prominence as a reaction to political unrest and a desire for cultural and political self-determination. Historical

factors such as the French Revolution, the Napoleonic Wars, and the dissolution of the Holy Roman Empire played significant roles in fostering the growth of nationalism in Europe. These events disrupted existing political and social structures, leading to a sense of fragmentation and the search for new sources of identity and stability.

The decline of traditional power structures and the rise of modern nation-states created fertile ground for the emergence of nationalism. The erosion of feudal systems and the rise of capitalism contributed to the formation of new social classes and the demand for political representation based on shared cultural and linguistic ties (Anderson 156).

Intellectual movements in Europe also contributed to the development of nationalist ideologies. Romanticism, for instance, emphasized the importance of cultural heritage, folklore, and a sense of shared history in shaping national identities. Romantic thinkers, such as Johann Gottlieb Fichte, believed that the destiny of a nation lay in its unique culture and language (Hobsbawm 55). Additionally, the Enlightenment ideas of individual rights and popular sovereignty also played a role in shaping nationalist thought. The concept of the nation as a community of individuals sharing a common will and seeking self-determination gained traction among intellectuals and political activists.

It can further be seen that nationalism emerged as a reaction to political unrest and the desire for political autonomy. In regions under foreign rule or experiencing internal conflicts, nationalist movements sought to mobilize people around a shared national identity and liberate themselves from external control. For instance, the rise of nationalism in 19th-century Italy and Germany was a response to fragmented political structures and foreign domination. Nationalist leaders, such as Giuseppe Mazzini in Italy and Otto von Bismarck in Germany, championed the cause of unification and rallied people around the idea of a unified nation-state. The idea of the

nation-state, with defined territorial boundaries and a shared national identity, became a dominant political model in Europe.

Ernest Gellner, philosopher and author of *Nations and Nationalism*, argues that nationalism provided the ideological foundation for the creation of modern nation-states, as it legitimized the exercise of political power within specific geographic boundaries (35). The pursuit of national self-determination led to the redrawing of borders and the establishment of sovereign nation-states based on the principle of "one nation, one state" (Gellner 93). Nationalism acted as a unifying force, bringing together diverse communities under a common identity. It fostered a sense of belonging and solidarity among individuals sharing a national heritage, language, and culture. Nationalism served as a mobilizing ideology, allowing disparate groups to unite and pursue shared political goals. By appealing to shared historical narratives and cultural symbols, nationalism provided a framework for collective action and the creation of cohesive communities.

While nationalism had the potential to unify communities, it also had a dark side. The emphasis on a homogeneous national identity often led to exclusionary practices and xenophobia. Nationalist movements sometimes excluded or marginalized minority groups within a nation-state, based on differences in language, religion, or ethnicity. This exclusionary aspect of nationalism contributed to social divisions and conflicts, fostering a sense of "us versus them" mentality (Anderson 26). Furthermore, extreme forms of nationalism fueled by xenophobia and ethnocentrism could be manipulated by authoritarian leaders to consolidate power and suppress dissent. The exploitation of nationalist sentiments by totalitarian regimes, as witnessed in fascist regimes of the 20th century, demonstrated the dark consequences of unchecked nationalism.

Totalitarianism and the Influence of Nationalism

Totalitarianism, characterized by the absolute control of the state over all aspects of society, often found its expression through the manipulation of nationalist sentiments. Nationalism provided a powerful tool for authoritarian leaders to consolidate their power and promote their ideological agendas. Totalitarian regimes often fostered a cult-like devotion to the nation, promoting an idealized vision of the national community. The nation became central to the regime's propaganda and ideology, emphasizing its superiority and glorifying its history and cultural heritage.

American Historian George Mosse argues that totalitarian regimes sought to create a "mobilization society" in which individuals were united under a shared national identity and collective purpose (210). This mobilization was achieved through the indoctrination of nationalist ideologies that reinforced the regime's authority and suppressed dissent (Mosse 215). Authoritarian leaders skillfully manipulated nationalist sentiments to consolidate their power and maintain control over society. They used nationalist rhetoric and symbols to rally support, portraying themselves as the defenders of the nation against perceived internal or external threats.

According to Robert Paxton, Professor Emeritus of Social Science at Columbia University, totalitarian leaders exploited nationalist narratives to create a sense of crisis and promote a "politics of eternity" (27). By fostering a perpetual state of emergency, these leaders justified their dictatorial rule and the suppression of individual liberties, claiming that only they could safeguard the interests and unity of the nation. Nationalism was instrumental in justifying the suppression of dissent within totalitarian regimes. Dissenters were often labeled as enemies of the nation, undermining the regime's authority and threatening national unity.

Further, nationalist ideologies served as tools for identifying and purging perceived internal enemies, as described by historian Timothy Snyder in his book *Bloodlands: Europe Between Hitler and Stalin* (30). Totalitarian regimes used nationalist narratives to create an "us versus them" dichotomy, labeling dissenters as traitors or foreign agents. This allowed the regime to legitimize repression and violence against those perceived as threats to the nation.

Totalitarianism often emerged in societies experiencing sociopolitical turmoil, where existing political systems seemed incapable of maintaining stability. In such contexts, authoritarian regimes promising order and security found appeal among the disillusioned population. The disintegration of traditional social structures and the erosion of faith in democratic institutions created fertile ground for the rise of totalitarianism. Authoritarian leaders capitalized on the perceived chaos and presented themselves as the solution to societal unrest, promising to restore stability and protect the nation from perceived internal and external threats (Arendt 49). Totalitarian regimes presented themselves as the only viable option for restoring order and achieving national greatness. By consolidating power and suppressing individual freedoms, they sought to establish a tightly controlled society that would maintain stability and enforce their ideological vision.

Totalitarian regimes used their power to eliminate any form of spontaneous order, subjugating society to a rigid hierarchical structure (Hayek 75). The quest for stability justified the implementation of extensive surveillance systems, censorship, and propaganda apparatus to maintain control over the population. Nationalism played a significant role in constructing stability within totalitarian regimes. The emphasis on national unity and collective purpose helped create a sense of cohesion and loyalty among the population. Totalitarian regimes often sought to manufacture a homogenous national identity by suppressing diversity and promoting a

standardized culture (Payne 372). Nationalism served as a unifying force, fostering a sense of shared destiny and purpose, which contributed to the stability and coherence of the regime.

The Spanish Civil War and its Significance

The Spanish Civil War (1936-1939) was a pivotal conflict that unfolded in Spain, serving as a battleground for competing political ideologies and triggering international involvement. The war was characterized by a complex political landscape and deep ideological divisions. Prior to the Spanish Civil War, Spain was marked by social and political unrest. The country was divided between various factions, including Republicans, Nationalists, Anarchists, and Communists, each with their own vision for the future of Spain.

Stanley G. Payne, historian specialized in fascism in Spain, explains that the conflict pitted the Republican government, which comprised a coalition of left-wing and liberal forces, against the Nationalists led by General Francisco Franco; the Republicans sought to establish a democratic and progressive Spain, while the Nationalists aimed to overthrow the Republic and establish a right-wing, authoritarian regime (299).

The Spanish Civil War drew significant international attention and involvement. It became a proxy war for ideological struggles between different countries and political movements. Foreign powers and volunteers from around the world joined the conflict, aligning themselves with either the Republican or Nationalist forces.

The Spanish Civil War showcased the rise of fascism and the power of nationalist ideologies. General Franco, the leader of the Nationalists, aligned himself with fascist and nationalist ideals, implementing an authoritarian regime once the Nationalists emerged victorious. Payne further argues that the victory of Franco's forces resulted in the establishment

of a dictatorial regime that embraced fascist principles and suppressed dissent (89). Franco's

regime emphasized Spanish nationalism, centralizing power, and promoting a conservative,

Catholic vision for Spain.

The Republican cause in the Spanish Civil War attracted support and solidarity from

individuals and groups around the world. Many saw the conflict as a larger battle against fascism

and the threat it posed to democratic values. Military historian Antony Beevor explains that the

Republican government represented a diverse coalition of left-wing and liberal forces, striving to

defend the principles of democracy, social justice, and human rights (182). Volunteers from

different countries, including intellectuals, artists, and activists, joined the Republican ranks to

fight against fascism and support the Republican cause.

The Spanish Civil War left a lasting impact on Spain and the world. It served as a

precursor to World War II and became an ideological touchstone for the struggles against fascism

and authoritarianism. The Spanish Civil War highlighted the brutal consequences of ideological

conflicts and the dangers of polarized societies (Preston 53). It became a cautionary tale of the

destructive power of extremism and the importance of defending democratic values and human

rights.The war also demonstrated the significance of international solidarity and the sacrifices

made by volunteers from different countries who fought for their ideological convictions.

Conclusion

The rise of totalitarianism and fascism in Europe was a complex phenomenon shaped by

philosophical changes, the introduction of nationalism, and the search for stability. The

philosophical underpinnings, particularly Hegelianism, provided a framework for understanding

societal change and transformation, while nationalism emerged as a powerful force in shaping political landscapes and mobilizing communities around a shared identity.

The transition from Hegelianism to totalitarianism demonstrated the distortion and exploitation of philosophical ideas, as the concentration of power in the state was taken to extreme levels, suppressing individual liberties and justifying dictatorial rule. Nationalism, on the other hand, played a dual role. It served as a unifying force, fostering a sense of belonging and solidarity, but also had a dark side, often leading to exclusionary practices and xenophobia.

The Spanish Civil War serves as a poignant example of the clash between these ideologies. The war became a battleground of competing political and ideological forces, with fascism and nationalism finding expression in General Franco's regime, while the Republican cause attracted international solidarity and support from those fighting against authoritarianism. The war's legacy reminds us of the destructive consequences of extremist ideologies and the importance of defending democratic values and human rights.

In exploring the nexus of philosophical changes, nationalism, and totalitarianism, we gain insights into the historical context that led to the rise of authoritarian regimes. The Spanish Civil War stands as a vivid reminder of the struggles against fascism and the sacrifices made by those who fought against it. As we reflect on the lessons of the past, it is imperative to remain vigilant in safeguarding democratic principles, promoting inclusivity, and valuing the diversity of our societies. By understanding the complexities that led to the emergence of totalitarianism, we can strive to build a future that upholds individual freedoms, respects human rights, and fosters a sense of unity and shared purpose without sacrificing the principles that define our democratic societies.

Works Cited

Anderson, Benedict. *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of*

    Nationalism. Verso, 1983.

Arendt, Hannah. *The Origins of Totalitarianism*. Harcourt, Brace & World, 1951.

Beevor, Antony. *The Battle for Spain: The Spanish Civil War 1936-1939*. Penguin Books, 2006.

Berlin, Isaiah. *Karl Marx: His Life and Environment.* Oxford University Press, 2000.

Fukuyama, Francis. *The End of History and the Last Man*. Free Press, 1992.

Gellner, Ernest. *Nations and Nationalism.* Cornell University Press, 1983.

Hayek, Friedrich A. *The Road to Serfdom.* University of Chicago Press, 1944.

Hobsbawm, Eric. *Nations and Nationalism since 1780: Programme, Myth, Reality.* Cambridge

    University Press, 1992.

Marx, Karl, and Friedrich Engels. *The Communist Manifesto.* 1848.

Mosse, George L. *The Nationalization of the Masses: Political Symbolism and Mass Movements*

    in Germany from the Napoleonic Wars through the Third Reich. Howard Fertig, 1975.

Paxton, Robert O. *The Anatomy of Fascism.* Vintage, 2004.

Payne, Stanley G. *A History of Fascism, 1914-1945.* Routledge, 1995.

Preston, Paul. *The Spanish Civil War: Reaction, Revolution, and Revenge.* Harper Perennial,

    2006.

Snyder, Timothy. *Bloodlands: Europe Between Hitler and Stalin.* Basic Books, 2010.

Payne, Stanley G. *The Spanish Civil War, the Soviet Union, and Communism.* Yale University

    Press, 2012.